

Magazine of the British National Party

Identity

£1.95

January 2005

Issue 51

Nick Griffin Released In Halifax

- Another BNP Media Frenzy



January's News

■ One in Three New Houses Are For Immigrants - Official

The latest Government figures confirm what the BNP has been saying for the last four years. Nearly one in three new households formed over the next 20 years will be due to immigration. That is nearly 1.2 million houses in what is already the world's second most densely populated country.

If another report by academics at the London School of Economics is correct then the 1.2 million houses will not be enough. Its study found that between 1991 and 2001 the ethnic minority population grew at twice the rate of the white population; 1.6 million to 600,000. The ethnic minority population growth represented 73 per cent of the overall total.

Two of the reasons for the rapid increase in the ethnic minority population, said the LSE report, were high birth rates and record levels of immigration. The black African community has grown by more than 120 per cent to reach 485,000 and is approaching the size of the West Indian community. There is a 73 per cent rise in the size of the Bangladeshi community and a 57 per cent rise in the Pakistani community to reach 283,000 and 747,000 respectively. These figures do not include, we assume, illegal immigrants.

■ Lib-Dems Play The Race Card

The Liberal Democrats are front runners in the mouth-frothing stakes for denouncing the BNP as racists, but when it comes to electioneering they have shown that they are quite willing to play the race card.

Labour's Oona King holds the East London parliamentary seat of Bethnal Green and Bow with a 10,000 majority. More than one third of its population is Bangladeshi who, because of Labour's support for the Iraqi war, are likely to switch much of their vote to George Galloway and his anti-war Respect Party. The Lib-Dems have just dumped their Pakistani candidate and replaced him with a Bangladeshi, as

although they are fellow Muslims there is no love lost between the two peoples. A senior Lib-Dem said that now they have a Bangladeshi candidate they hope to sneak through the middle and win the seat.

■ Join Me In Promoting Multiracial Britain Says UKIP Candidate

Gary Phipps, a former Conservative County Councillor, has been selected as the UKIP Prospective Parliamentary Candidate for the City of Gloucester. In his acceptance speech he said: "I am proud to be British and to live in a multiracial Britain, something I hope all British citizens, of whatever origin or faith, will join me in promoting".

■ Half University Places For Foreign Students

Imperial College London, one of Britain's top four institutions, will offer half its places to foreign students by 2010. The plan to increase substantially the number of overseas students and post-graduates is in an attempt to raise funds. It educates a substantial number of the UK's doctors, scientists and engineers. It follows plans by University College, London, Oxford University and Cambridge University to take more overseas candidates while freezing or cutting the number of places available for British school-leavers.

■ Gambling Boss Gives £150,000 to Labour

It was puzzling why a 'Labour' Government should have pushed through a Parliamentary bill to give tax breaks that will allow big-time gambling casinos, many controlled by American companies, to open up in cities all over Britain. A bit beyond the pockets of the working man that Labour is supposed to represent.

A clue came with the news last month that a prominent betting tycoon,

Peter Coates, gave the party £50,000 in July. Owner of a chain of betting shops across Cheshire and the Midlands, he pledged £100,000 to Labour in 1999 and has also given substantial donations through companies that he controls.

■ Navy Opposed to Gays

The bulk of the Royal Navy is opposed to serving alongside homosexuals and lesbians, according to a Ministry of Defence survey published last month. Most also believe that allowing women to serve on ships is "more trouble than it's worth".

■ Chinese Trains for Northern Rail

A further sign of the times in the export of British technical jobs to Asia is the news that commuters in Northern England could soon be travelling on Chinese trains, under plans being considered by Serco and Ned Railways to use cut-price rolling stock made in Beijing.

Serco and Ned have signed an eight-year franchise to operate local and mid-distance rail services in a deal which involves British government subsidies of £2.4bn! The Chinese firm, CSR Ziyang, is believed to face competition from Bombardier, which has a factory in Derby, the only remaining train manufacturer in Britain.

Identity

Editor: John Bean

January 2005

Public reference:

ISSN 1469-7971

Subscriptions Dept:

PO Box 907,

Hull, HU6 9WY

Tel: 0870 75 73 267.

Please note that we receive more material than we can print. Articles should be submitted to the addresses below in typed/electronic format. PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk CB8 8WT. Email: Identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk.

Identity Cards are a Further Blow to Freedom

No doubt there are some BNP members and supporters who would have no objection to carrying an identity card. "I've nothing to hide", they will say. "If it will make things more difficult for terrorists and reduce illegal immigration, then it will be a good thing". This was my view originally until I looked at its wider implications.

Identity cards did not deter the terrorist attacks in Madrid and Turkey last year. Significantly, post-9/11 America's Department of Homeland Security have no plans for national ID cards, but prefer to concentrate their efforts on border control and intelligence. Apart from the fact that the terrorist threat in Britain is immediate and that ID cards will not come in for another seven years, such a threat would most likely come from within the pool of 26 million short-term visitors each year who will not need to have ID cards unless their stay is longer than three months.

This also, of course, applies to recently arrived asylum seekers. Any illegal immigrant who might be stopped by the police (it has been known to happen, on rare occasions) would only have to say that he is a newly arrived asylum seeker. Alternatively, as at present we are told that it will not be legally necessary to carry your ID card, our illegal has only to say that he will present his card at the nearest police station within seven days, and then disappear back into the woodwork.

ID cards, therefore, will do little or nothing to prevent terrorism or illegal immigration. There is certainly a case for saying they will help reduce benefit frauds. But surely the same reductions could be obtained by the insistence that claimants must present their social security number plus a driving licence or a recent services bill, e.g. council tax, gas or electricity?

Then there is the cost of this typical New Labour exercise. At around £85 each this will come to £340 for a family of four, or £170 for a pensioner couple who may not go more than a hundred miles from their homes for the remainder of their lives. As the cost for Muslim families could be around a £1,000, perhaps the Government will offer them a cut rate for bulk deals.

If you refuse to register for an ID card it will result in a £2,500 fine, and if you do not tell big brother if you have moved then that will cost you £1,000. The Home Office has said that the total bill for introducing the scheme and the database is likely to be in the region of £3 billion. If the eventual costs of virtually all previous New Labour schemes are anything to go by we can assume that it will be at least £10 billion.

Two of the cornerstones upon which BNP policy is founded are Identity and Freedom: to preserve our British racial and national identity and to roll back the erosion of our traditional, democratic freedoms. New Labour's ID cards will do nothing to preserve our identity and will be a further blow to our freedom. They will turn on its head the democratic ideal that the state must serve the people; an ideal that has been slowly undermined by legislation from

past Conservative Governments and has accelerated since Blair came to power. Once ID cards have been established they will produce an enormous centralised database of information about

everything from our shopping habits to our medical histories and almost certainly from there it will spread to recording whether we have expressed unorthodox or 'racist' political opinions. Orwell's 1984 will have arrived.

More and More Restrictions


I am old enough to have carried a wartime ID card, a scrappy piece of card without a photograph which was incapable of proving that I was not a spotty teenage German spy. There were great shouts of 'freedom' in 1950 when it was finally abolished. Since then we have blindly accepted one restriction after another on our civil liberties and even welcomed many of those connected with motoring.

As the immigration trickle became a steady stream, Harold Wilson's first Labour Government made it an offence if you refused to let rooms or sell your house to a non-white, so destroying the choice of what you do with your own property. Offensive remarks made towards non-whites were then made an offence, but as it did not concern sensible people who were then opposing the policy of unchecked immigration, successive governments introduced ever more restrictive Race Relations Acts, hoping to stifle all criticism of their follies over mass immigration.

Space does not permit itemising the more than a hundred new restrictions that have gone on the statute books in the last decade, many stemming from the EU. These have ranged from the right to own a pistol, even a .22 calibre, to the ban on fox hunting, and soon to be a religious discrimination act. The latter, like the Civil Contingencies Bill, is really meant to spike the progress of the BNP. There are sufficient powers for the police and the courts to deal with terrorists, as long as liberal-leaning judges are not allowed to over-rule Parliament. The real objective of the Civil Contingencies Bill is to give New Labour the power to ban any political party they feel is a threat to their multi-culti project to abolish Britain as a predominantly white and independent nation.

John Bean

Editor






Global Capitalism: The Big Picture

Nick Griffin explains why the BNP's opposition to global capitalism is so important to our national interest and that this in no way conflicts with our support for private enterprise.

"What have globalisation and criticisms of capitalism got to do with the BNP? Surely ordinary people in Britain have got enough to worry about with problems like the asylum scandal, the crime wave that has turned millions into prisoners in their own fortified homes and Political Correctness, without getting into left-wing sounding discussions about economics and conspiracies by wicked international capitalists."

This question was posed by a newcomer to our website site recently and, in view of the fact that we intend to continue to post articles such as Lee Barnes' critique of the neo-Trotskyite/neo-Conservative/Soros axis, it is a sincere question which deserves to be answered [this article may be found in the *Brimstone* column in the columnists' section of our website - www.bnp.org.uk]

So, in brief, the reason why the British National Party studies, opposes and exposes the machinations of the proponents of globalism, is that problems such as the asylum flood, rampant crime and Political Correctness did not arise on their own; they are as much the product of the globalist mentality and techniques as were the phoney 'democracy' demonstrations in Ukraine.

"Free movement of goods, capital and labour" is a basic mantra of the globalist fundamentalists, who have

spent decades and vast sums of money to buy influence in academia, the media and among politicians to further that aim. Immigration disguised as asylum-seeking is not a random force of nature, or even the consequence of modern technology which makes it easy for large numbers of people to move rapidly from one place to another. It is the result of conscious decisions made by Governments to allow it.

Gordon Brown and *The Times* and *The Economist* are all in favour of mass immigration (albeit with some element of 'control' in order to prevent the 'natives' from waking up to what's going on and turning to the BNP) for the very same reason that they believe that moves to protect British industry from annihilation at the hands of East Asian competitors are as unthinkable as moves to stop City of London investors looting Britain of the capital that is financing our economic eclipse by the shadow of Third World industrialisation.

CHEAP LABOUR

The asylum flood is inexplicable until one understands the deadly combination of the inherent and insatiable demand for cheap labour created by capitalism on the one hand, and the influence on men like Brown and Blunkett of left-wing ideas in their

formative years. These are utterly unrealistic superstitions about human equality and white 'racism' which now provide the intellectual fig leaf for the cultural, economic and genetic displacement of the peoples of the West from their own ancestral homelands. Media leftists and masochistic Bishops may call it 'lurve', but it's really all about maximising profit for the ultra rich, and providing their children with cheap and gratefully well-behaved nannies.

The link between globalisation and crime is a little harder to grasp, but is there nevertheless. For a start, the kid glove treatment of criminals springs from the ideological environmentalist conviction shared by liberal capitalists and doctrinaire Marxists alike - that All Men Are Equal and come into the world as blank slates, with what is written on those slates being mainly or wholly determined by their social and economic surroundings. So - they claim - a criminal isn't innately 'bad' and in need of deterrent, let alone punishment. He is a 'victim of society', in need of understanding and help courtesy of the law-abiding taxpayer and in an open hostel in an already 'rough' area, not next to the Great and Good, naturally enough.

This mania for punishing and betraying the innocent victims of crime, rather than beating, jailing or executing criminal vermin, accounted

for the bulk of the increase in crime and criminality in Britain up until about 1980. Since then, however, there has been a second factor, far more obviously linked to globalist dogma: The destruction of Britain's industrial and manufacturing base, begun under Tony Thatcher and continues today under Maggie Blair.

This is not to use the simplistic and often dishonest left-wing argument that Poverty = Crime. For a start, the degree of poverty in the 1930s was far worse than that which exists today, yet crime was only a fraction of what it is now. There were Hunger Marches in the North East, and Hunger Riots in Belfast, but ragged children could play in the streets without fear of abduction, old ladies could leave their doors open in the evening without fear of burglary, and women could walk home at any hour of the day or night without fear of rape and murder.

But the connection between the destruction by this deliberate de-industrialisation, of communities, families and the work ethic could have been predicted by any sociobiologist or evolutionary psychiatrist. This isn't about Marxist economic theory but about human nature.

rites of passage

Young men in particular have an ineradicable need for challenges, rights of passage and the respect of their peers and their elders. For the millennia during which our ancestors lived as nomadic bands of hunter-gatherers, this need was fulfilled by tribal coming of age rituals, closely tied to the necessities of learning to hunt, surviving the chase and killing the prey.

Following a period of near anarchy and brutal repression during the first stages of the Industrial Revolution, a new framework for those deep instincts emerged in working class communities to 'tame' the natural aggression and drive of youth. Leaving school at 16, 14 or even earlier, the lads would follow their fathers, uncles and older brothers into the world of hard, often dangerous and skilled work. A week of shatteringly hard labour trying to keep up with the men, or of a desperate struggle to acquire the first glimmerings of the skills which everyone else seemed to use as second nature, and the boy was able to

take home his first meagre pay packet.

In all probability he gave it straight to his mother to help to feed the rest of the family. Perhaps she would give him back enough to buy a packet of Woodbines or to buy a pint in the local or the Working Men's Club. That was it; the Boy was now a Man. He knew it, his family and friends knew it, and the girls knew it too.

This is not to romanticise - still to wish for a return to - the appalling degrees of exploitation, danger and misery that existed at the time, or the drudgery of the office which inadvertently fulfilled the same function for many grammar school boys a year or so older. It is merely to explain how life in industrial Britain went a very long way to satisfying a deeply felt human need, and thereby preventing it from surfacing in less constructive ways.

Furthermore, despite a steady lessening of the hardships faced by the majority of 'ordinary' people in the period between 1950 and the 1970s, this same set of traditions and behavioural norms played a major role in suppressing anti-social attitudes and actions - until the coming of Margaret Thatcher and the resulting nationwide social experiment that went with throwing the country at the mercy of unrestricted economic globalism.

Well, 25 years on we know all too well where that led. Vast areas of Britain, especially in the devastated ruins of the 'old' industrial areas, are now wastelands of 'sink' estates. Boys who grasp from about the age of ten that the male manual labourer is

virtually obsolete, and that decent pay and job security are things that the present System will always deny them, see no point whatsoever in trying at school.

Of course, the deliberate sabotage of our education system by the left, and of our culture and sense of identity and belonging by the BBC and MTV, haven't helped. But it is the fact that young men's natural need for recognition, status and peer bonding has no constructive outlet that is at the root of the vandalism, drug-assisted suicide epidemic and crime wave which have blighted the lives of all but those rich enough to insulate themselves from reality. To put it into a sound-bite, once they went out and killed a sabre toothed tiger, then they took the cage down the pit, but now they smash a bus shelter and burgle the flat next door.

ENGINEERED DECLINE

And the key thing to remember is that the community-shattering decline of our industrial base is not the result of a natural catastrophe or an Act of God. It is the result of choices made by stupid or corrupt politicians, of propaganda disseminated by bought-and-paid for journalists, of unproven and mistaken theories taught in universities and, behind all those, the naked self-interest and greed of the bosses and big shareholders of giant corporations and banks.

They are the ones imposing Globalism, and until we understand not just that, but also the link between their lust for short-term profit and the

LADY THATCHER: Devastated industrial Britain





anguish of the mother who sees her demotivated and hopeless child sinking into a spiral of drug addiction, crime and prostitution on the kind of 'sink' estate so shockingly described by Nick Davies in *Dark Heart*, then we will have no chance of putting things right.

And putting things right is our aim and our duty. We are not here to deal with a few of the most offensive symptoms of Globalism, such as the scab labour asylum flood or the fact that the nation which invented the railway now has to buy trains from China while skilled engineers in Derby and Darlington waste away on the long-term 'sick'.


No! We intend to get to the root of the problem; to which end every single one of our activists and a fair few of our supporters must understand what lies at its very core: it is the idea that the value of things and people can be measured in gold or in dollars or pounds or yen.

It is the idea that Money, in reality nothing more than a medium of exchange, is a Golden Calf. It is the mentality that looks at a grove of beech trees and calculates how many fitted kitchens it could make and how many 'executive' houses could be built there. It is the superstition of Economic Man, the belief in 'progress' via a linear advance in material productivity.

SUPER-CRIMINALS

It is the conceit of the likes of Paul Wolfowitz, George Bush, Tony Blair and George Soros that they can play a sort of global Age of Empires with real people and real nations; funding a revolution here, flattening a city there, overthrowing an elected government somewhere else. Last year 'democratising' Iraq, this year 'liberalising' Ukraine, next year using WMDs to prevent sovereign Iran developing WMDs. Some of these individuals, incidentally, are Jewish, but the majority are not. Capitalism knows no race or religion. It's motive forces are greed and shared ideological assumptions, not any 'conspiracy' Learned Elder fantasy figures.

So, yes, while we will continue to



STATE PSEUDO GANG: The White 'Nationalist' Party, run by grasses and Marxist infiltrators like Peter Rushton (right)

warn about anti-white racism on our streets, to expose the use of illegal labour in food processing factories, and to criticise police forces for being more interested in persecuting motorists than catching criminals; we will also continue to talk about and publicise the nation-wrecking scheming and activities of the super-criminals who pose as world statesmen, professors of economics, hedge fund owners and multi-millionaire philanthropists.

We will continue to explain how - precisely because we believe in the value of hard work properly rewarded, the recognition of individual genius, the benefits of as many people as possible working for themselves, and the sanctity of private property - we are opposed to the monopolistic liberal Capitalism that is destroying all those things as effectively as naked Communism ever did.

Obviously, we want as many people in the BNP as possible, and we're a non-dogmatic and fairly broad church. But if you agree with our position on restoring British sovereignty, yet aren't too fussed about preserving our ethnic and cultural identity, and are convinced that free trade and globalisation are the way to economic paradise, then your place really is with UKIP, not with us.

If you understand all about the

devastating human and environmental costs of globalisation, but don't like the fact that we openly talk about ethnicity and oppose mass immigration on grounds of 'race' as well as 'space', then your place is really with the Green Party, not with us.

If you believe it's right to protect British industry but don't think it matters who owns it as long as they are white, and if you think that our readiness to see good in other cultures - and to work with people of goodwill from other races who agree with us that the patchwork of human genetic and cultural diversity is a precious jewel which must be preserved at all costs - makes us 'soft' or 'sell-outs', then your place is in some 'secret' meeting in some smoky bar listening to the police grasses and opposition agents who run pseudo-gang groups like the WNP or the Spearhead Support Group.

But if you understand that the fight for cultural and ethnic survival cannot be won without our also taking back control of our own economic destiny - and that this cannot be done without facing up to those whose ambition is a world of rootless, raceless, nationless, value-free consumers, and by presenting a vision of a more practical, sustainable and truly efficient economic system than theirs - then your place is in the British National Party.

For a more in-depth analysis of some of the issues addressed in this article, see the essay by Mr Griffin, *The Deadly Twins*, in the Articles section of the BNP website: www.bnp.org.uk

Eurabia Comes to Sweden

The following report was translated from the Swedish press by Ali Dashti (a Muslim) for *Jihad Watch* September 9th and appeared on the internet. We republish it here (unedited) as a warning for what 'Eurabia' - the fusion of Europe and Arabia - holds for all of us.

Sweden is one of the worst hit countries in Europe by Muslim immigration and Political Correctness. Now, the police themselves have publicly admitted that they no longer control one of Sweden's major cities. I have made some exclusive translations from Swedish media. They show the future of Eurabia unless Europeans wake up.

I've seen the future of Eurabia, and it's called 'Sweden.' Malmö is Sweden's third largest city after Stockholm and Gothenburg. Once-peaceful Sweden, home of ABBA, IKEA and the Nobel Prize, is increasingly looking like the Middle East on a bad day.

All following links to major Swedish newspapers, with a brief translation:

<http://www.aftonbladet.se/vss/nyheter/story/0,2789,529910,00.html>

Malmö, Sweden.

The police now publicly admit what many Scandinavians have known for a long time: They no longer control the situation in the nation's third largest city. It is effectively ruled by violent gangs of Muslim immigrants. Some of the Muslims have lived in the area of Rosengård, Malmö, for twenty years, and still don't know how to read or write Swedish. Ambulance personnel are attacked with stones or weapons and refuse to help anybody in the area without police escort. The immigrants also spit at them when they come to help. Recently, an Albanian youth was stabbed by an Arab and was left bleeding to death on the ground while the ambulance waited for the police to arrive. The police themselves hesitate to enter parts of their own city unless they have several patrols, and need to have guards to watch their cars, otherwise they will be vandalised. "Something drastic has to be done, or much more blood will be spilled" says one of the locals.

<http://w1.sydsvenskan.se/Article.jsp?article=10092861>

The number of people emigrating from the city of Malmö is reaching record levels. Swedes, who a couple of decades ago decided to open the doors to Muslim "refugees" and asylum seekers, are now turned into refugees in their own country and forced to flee their homes. The people abandoning the city mention crime and fear of the safety of their children as the main reason for leaving.

<http://w1.sydsvenskan.se/Article.jsp?article=10090830>

All of the 600 windows at one of the schools in Malmö have been broken during the summer holiday. Window smashing alone costs the city millions every year. City



buses have been forced to avoid the immigrant ghetto, as they are met with youths throwing rocks or bottles at them if they enter. Earlier this year, a boy of Afghan origin had made plans to blow up his own school.

<http://w1.sydsvenskan.se/Article.jsp?article=10093267>

People working at the emergency ward at the major hospital in Malmö receive threats every day, and are starting to get used to it. Patients with knives or guns are commonplace. They have discussed having metal detectors at the emergency entrance, but some fear this could be seen as a provocation.

<http://w1.sydsvenskan.se/Article.jsp?article=10093495>

Lisa Nilsson has lived in Manhattan, New York City, for 25 years. After moving back to Malmö, Sweden, she now misses the safety of New York. She never walks anywhere in Malmö after dark, but takes a taxi everywhere she goes.

<http://www.expressen.se/index.jsp?a=180423>

Rapes in Sweden as a whole have increased by 17% just since the beginning of 2003 and have had a dramatic increase during the past decade. Gang rapes, usually involving Muslim immigrant males and native Swedish girls, have become commonplace. Two weeks ago, 5 Kurds brutally raped a 13-year-old Swedish girl.

<http://www.aftonbladet.se/vss/nyheter/story/0,2789,528363,00.html>

A 22-year-old Swedish woman going out for fresh air was gang raped by three strange men. They only said one word to her: "Whore!"

Ali Dashti comments: "Stories like this are in Swedish newspapers every week. Swedish media usually take great care not to mention the ethnic background of the perpetrators, but you can usually read it between the lines."



Jan 2005

Repression



"We're not really interested in the individuals, what we're after are their computer hard-drives." - a police officer involved in the arrests of Nick Griffin and Mark Collett.

"Seized hard-drives will be used to build up a better picture of the organisation, and to provide leads which can be used to spread the net wider and pull in other officials and activists." - separate leak from West Yorkshire police.

"There are going to be more raids and more arrests. They'll be bailed until the spring, and then charged in the run-up to the General Election. Some of the 'cases' are so weak that the charges are quite likely to have to be dropped, but that will be after the Election when the publicity damage has been done." - Pro-BNP leak within the Crown Prosecution Service, received several days before the arrests of Mark Collett and Nick Griffin.

*"It's not coming from senior police. It's coming from much higher than that." - Police officer to Bradford BNP Chairman, Paul Cromie, about the legal attacks on the party following the BBC's *Secret Agent* programme.*

"In all my years in the Force I've never seen such intense political interference in policing decisions. This is coming right from the top. They're trying to close your party down, and I think it's disgraceful." - West Yorkshire police officer to Nick Griffin.

These five quotes give us a picture of the campaign being mobilised by a top-level Labour anti-BNP team. Their aim: To disrupt and demonise a lawful political party, and to harass us out of existence.

They are not just using the anti-free speech laws. Pressure to deny us banking facilities, moves to smear and criminalise members and past-members of our Treasury team, attacks on our website, the new 'law' allowing trade union bosses to expel BNP members, announcements about the 'unsuitability' of BNP members to work as Civil Servants, the use of security service agents provocateur to spread lies about the character and intentions of the party leadership, and the careful co-

ordination of a number of essentially groundless but expensive to resist legal assaults later this year - all these things and others are being used to try to grind us down.

New Labour, together with a group of media allies pulled together by the *Searchlight* operation, want to destroy the BNP. But they know that any move to close us down openly would provoke a furious backlash.

So instead they are trying to break our will to carry on, and frighten off a sufficient proportion of our activists, members and donors to create a crisis of morale which is apparently of our own making. No public firing squad for us, just a series of hidden knife blows to our organisation's vital organs; a political assassination, with murder designed to look to the public like accidental death.

Why? Are they really so scared of our potential to take seats off Labour at the forthcoming General Election? Well, one sign of our fantastic progress over the last few years is that there are now a handful of seats where such a result is no longer totally unthinkable.

But, to be honest, it is still so improbable that this cannot be what they are worried about. The real reason is much more deep-rooted. It is two years since Blair's poll guru Philip Gould told a top-level New Labour seminar that his network of 2,000 private focus groups told him that they were in danger of losing "the entire white working class vote to the BNP."

That was before the Iraq war fiasco, before our main council election successes, before successive waves of media coverage boosted our popular profile. In short, if it was true in the spring of 2003, it's a damn sight truer now.

Furthermore, Gould gave that assessment several months before the BNP took its first supposedly 'safe' Conservative and Lib Dem seats, thereby proving that we don't only take Labour votes. The continued decline of the Tory party must be becoming a source of considerable concern to the multi-culturalists, for its ability to con patriotic voters has perhaps been the biggest single stumbling block to any serious change in British politics. Finally, Gould's warning came before Lib Dem by-election victories in Brent and Leicester proved that Labour cannot even rely on the Islamic vote.

The old certainties of British politics are evaporating. Then there is the fact that America's budget deficit and



consumer debt levels are approaching the critical point at which they will tip the US engine of global consumerism into a recession at least as bad as that which the Tories inflicted on Britain in the early eighties. That will end Labour's unearned reputation for economic competence, and set the scene for a devastating split between New and Old Labour for the soul of the post-Blair party.

And hovering over everything else is the spectre of "not if but when" Islamic terror strikes, and the "not certain but highly likely" disintegration of community relations that would follow.

This is why the guilty pygmies running the British State want the British National Party out of the way. That is why, as that police officer told me "they are trying to close your party down." That is why 2005 is going to see the BNP under greater pressure, from more sources, than ever before. We still intend to progress, but our primary aim in the months ahead is simply to survive.

So having told you very bluntly just how dangerous our current situation is, I'm going to tell you how why we are going to stay calm and play a winning hand full of aces.

Our first Ace is the Freedom of Information Act, which came into force on 1st January. While the Powers That Be will no doubt try to use the national security exemption to avoid giving us information, they will never be quite sure what they can and cannot keep concealed, or where some stray copy of an email they thought was safely under the exemption lock and key won't turn up in a released file.

BETTER PICTURE

By the time this issue of *Identity* hits the streets all BNP organisers will have been issued with instructions on to how to use the FIA to get at the snippets of information which, once pieced together, will give us a better picture than ever of the anti-democratic forces at work.

When every dodgy plan leaves a paper trail which could lead us to buried scandal, the number of people willing to make those dodgy plans in the first place will shrink. The new "culture of openness" will actually tend to create a culture of bureaucratic inaction and fear. Fear of whistleblowers, fear of previously easily buried cock-ups leading to disaster, fear of the truth coming out - all these things will tend to inhibit future dirty tricks.

Our second Ace is also a gift from the Government. A myriad of grants are being made very easily available through bodies linked to the unelected regional development bodies which have been created by John Prescott in the bid to build support for a future shift to elected regional assembly government - as laid out in the Eurocrats' scheme to break up Britain in general and England in particular.

The aim is to use these handouts to build a network of non-party political community leaders with influence in their own neighbourhoods, in the hope that, when it comes to referendum time, these 'change agents' will spread support for regionalisation at street level. Additionally, the more millions are given away via unelected regional Quangos, the easier it will be to create public demand for democratic control over such spending by elected regional assembly government.

That's the view from their end. From our perspective, however, we see grass-roots BNP activists using State

money to get much needed improvements done for their community, and to use the credibility such successes bring as a springboard to victory in future elections. Whatever the pressure the Powers That Be apply to us as a party over the coming months, there is nothing they can do to stop individual activists using this cynically designed bribery machine to sink deep community roots.

The third Ace is one we are making for ourselves to take advantage of the growth in numbers and quality of our membership. We have just expanded our administration team, thereby freeing Chris Green to move from processing memberships to become our first ever Personnel and Circle Development manager.

Our past failure to utilise the talents of some particularly capable new members has led to them featuring unusually high up on the list of dropouts come membership renewal time. Meanwhile, circles such as Pensioners' Awareness, ABEX (the Association of British Ex-Servicemen) and the white civil rights group FAIR have proven impossible to keep going consistently with unsupported volunteers, causing us to miss many opportunities for recruitment and agitprop.


These gaps should now be closed because closing them - and looking for new opportunities to develop and talented individuals to help us to advance in new fields as well - is henceforth Chris Green's job. Everyone who has met Chris or heard him speak will have a pretty good idea of just how much his imagination, drive and enthusiasm will achieve.

Some of the new initiatives which result will help us to resist and overcome political repression simply by moving us into new areas - cultural, social and economic - which are outside the political process.

The fourth Ace is the fact we almost certainly have a General Election this year. This will provide us with a big opportunity to put the political boot into the Tory party in a small number of key seats. There is also potential to do serious damage to Labour in seats with a significant Islamic presence. Just how we are going to do that need not be discussed in public yet, but believe you me we're going to have fun at the expense of Blair and Co.

Perhaps the biggest 'plus' provided by the General Election is, however, intangible: In the run-up to it, almost anything the enemy throw at us will be seen by many people as unfair persecution directed at innocent opponents by an undemocratic and totalitarian regime. Thus the more muck Blair and Co hurl at us, the more will blow back and splatter all over their unlovely faces.

Four aces in one hand. Plus the trump card - our own dedication and determination to secure the existence of our people and a future for our children. What have they got to beat that?





The 200 Days Campaign: PART 2

Eddy Butler, the BNP's National Elections Officer, concludes his report started in our December issue on Fighting Elections in the London area, March-October 2004

I ended the first part of my report by stressing that surprise is half of the victory. This was again shown to be true when in the Rosedale campaign in Broxbourne in 2003, we swept into the ward four weeks before polling day, with a ready made plan (owing to a series of unconnected accidental events). As the decision to fight the ward properly (i.e. in accordance with the 'plan' described in the December issue of *Identity*) was only taken at the last moment, surprise was complete and we got over 48% of the poll. The next year, with depleted forces we put our main effort into Cheshunt North, where a good result had also been obtained in 2003 (it having been the long term target ward in Broxbourne).

It was fairly obvious that Cheshunt North would be our target, but we did not have the resources to develop a different 'surprise' ward. Effectively, we were attacking the enemy's prepared positions. They were ready for us and instead of minefields and overlapping fields of fire, they had a large number of postal and proxy votes arranged and the ward was heavily leafleted and canvassed by various opposition groups. As a result, despite a reasonable campaign given the available manpower, we only maintained the vote compared to the previous year.

The Grays by-election victory came in a district that had never seen any BNP activity of any note. The three Epping Forest victories took the enemy by surprise. They were worried and distracted about what might happen in Broxbourne. It had been eight or more years since a seat had been contested in Epping Forest.

Goresbrook followed our unsuccessful efforts in Valence ward, and after the *Secret Agent* programme (which our enemies would like to imagine made our popular support shrink and wither like a vampire caught in sunlight). They probably didn't think we had any fight left in us.

The aforementioned 'plan' has existed for some time and is always 'successful'. The degree of success (i.e. victory or close defeat) can



depend on outside factors. The 'plan' cannot guarantee victory but it can guarantee getting you into a position where victory is possible. Without the 'plan', victory is still possible, but only when a series of exceptionally favourable circumstances come into play.

PREPARATION IS EVERYTHING

To quote Cervantes, 'To be prepared is half the victory' (thus completing the equation as we have already seen in the December article what the other half is).

For Village Ward (Barking and Dagenham) we had lost the element of surprise totally and we had no time to have a planning meeting. We had to get the nomination papers delivered before Goresbrook was over. We could only switch our attention to Village after polling day in Goresbrook on 6th September, which left us with exactly three weeks to campaign in. If our activists were tired after 10th June, how much more exhausted were they by now? How much more difficult

would it be to get people to take time off work? Hadn't our activists' domestic lives suffered enough neglect?

Would the victory in Goresbrook inspire greater effort or would the margin of our victory encourage complacency? (In my judgement complacency defeated inspiration). And as we had no time to prepare, mobilisations would suffer at first and it would be difficult to prepare new campaign themes for the Patriot leaflets. These are the consequences of being essentially an amateur outfit. We are still a small and poor party in comparison to the old parties. We do not have local party offices with full time staff.

Furthermore, unlike any other party that contests elections, we always have to face up to coordinated opposition from everyone that is against us. In Goresbrook, their favoured tactic was to put up a plethora of candidates in the hope that this would split the anti-Labour vote and keep us out. It failed for all to see. In Village the tactic was to put up a strong Tory campaign (the Conservative

candidate was the brother of the Labour election agent) and Labour and the Tories concentrated on attacking each other to give the impression that the Tories were the only credible opposition to Labour.

Labour themselves barely mentioned us. This was left to the anti-white race-haters of the left, who even stooped to running a blatantly racist campaign against our hard working candidate Lawrence Rustem for being half-Turkish! Labour meanwhile called on a national mobilisation of activists, backed up by the Trade Union movement. Clearly, they were petrified at the prospect of back-to-back victories for the BNP.

The final problem we had in Village was that the ward was 'bitty'. It consisted of pockets of houses (similar to those found in Goresbrook) where stable families and the more prosperous working class lived, of whom many had bought their homes. These are our natural supporters.

There were also large chunks consisting of sink estates, where drug taking and criminality is rife (you tend to find more Labour supporters and I do not say this to score a cheap political point, it is the case). The turn out in these sink estates is low, and it is difficult to get to talk to people as the more decent types (i.e. the ones more likely to be responsive to our message) are understandably scared to open their doors to strangers. This is obviously a major disadvantage to a campaign that relies on personal contact with the electorate.

A successful campaign creates a groundswell of opinion that sweeps the ward from end to end as our message gets on the local grapevine and captures the popular mood. As the last sweeps and the re-knocks progress, local networks take off - at bus queues, school gates, post offices and pubs everyone is talking about us.

Talking about how we say all the right things; about how only we address all the concerns of local

people; about how nice, polite and intelligent are our canvassers. As our appearance and demeanour is so at odds with the cranky outpourings of bile that emanate from the smear leaflets of the career-leftists, these have little or no effect. Also, our most vociferous advocates are no longer our activists. It is nice Mrs Jones down the street; it is good old Bert who goes to the cafe. "If they are voting for them, then why shouldn't I?"

IMPREGNABLE TO SMEARS

A full-on campaign becomes impregnable to smears as the local rumour network swings into operation, sweeping from one end of the ward to the other and back like water sloshing in a bathtub, all the while being reinforced in its intensity by our continuing campaign until it becomes a tidal wave of support. That is how to overwhelm the opposition. It is very difficult to create this sort of groundswell in a ward, broken up by major roads, sink estates and rows of low-rise entryphone blocks. Goresbrook by contrast was a very even ward, consisting of street after street of terraced and semi-detached houses.

If you are unable to run a full campaign, then the smears will take effect at the top end of our vote the wishy-washer element found after topping 30%. Some of these may be put off and not vote at all; or perhaps vote for what they may see as a softer option, which depending on the circumstance could be the Tories, UKIP or (which may surprise some of you) the Lib Dems. The smears also have the effect of mobilising the anti vote - the out-and-out Labourites, assorted do-gooders and the 'ethnics' (although this is not as clear cut as some might think and is a separate topic in its own right).

Now in any given ward the number of British people who actively hate and detest everything we stand for and

who can be galvanised to vote against us is quite small. Hostility towards us is rare, despite the ravings of the far left who like to imagine that we sneak in to win elections on a tide of apathy.

Despite all these negative factors, we didn't lose Village by much. The enemy had to throw everything at us to stop us from winning what should be a safe Labour seat. This is a testimony to the natural strength of our campaign and the fortitude of our committed activists. If the Village by-election had occurred a month later, allowing a small time for our activists to rest and re-cooperate, and we had been able to deploy our full campaign, then we would have won, no matter what they threw at us. And they know it.

We could also have won if the legion of armchair generals and hard-line, net-surfing nationalists, who heartily back-slapped, popped champagne corks and generally congratulated each other on our victory in Goresbrook, had bestirred themselves to do something (anything, in fact) about the cause they profess to hold so dear, and actually turn up and do some work (then we wouldn't have to rely on undercover reporters from the *Evening Standard* as activists!)

The result on 07/10/04

Barking and Dagenham, Village

Labour 1085 (44.7% - down by 10.5%)
BNP 934 (38.5% - didn't contest the previous election) candidate Lawrence Rustem
Conservative 410 (16.9% - down by 6.4%)
Lib Dem (didn't field a candidate down by 21.5%)
Turnout 35%.

The series of three by-elections in the Dagenham area can be compared to a two leg cup competition, between non-league Dagenham and Redbridge FC (that's us) and Manchester United (that's Labour). In the first leg, Manchester United won narrowly. In the return fixture, the amateurs beat

Ward Of Increase	GLA Top-Up List Vote	By-Election Vote	Magnitude	
Valance	354 votes (20.4%)	576 votes (31.5%)	1.6	1.5
Rainham and Wennington	397 votes (14.8%)	549 votes (21.3%)	1.4	1.4
Goresbrook	438 votes (25.0%)	1072 votes (51.9%)	2.5	2.1
Village	363 votes (21.1%)	934 votes (38.5%)	2.6	1.8
Laindon Park	509 votes (20.2%)	365 votes (18.9%)	0.7	0.9



the professionals. This set up a deciding match. After a hard fought encounter, the Man United won. But no glory to them. With the resources at their disposal it should have been a no contest from the outset.

The party's performance can best be evaluated by comparing the by-election results to the results obtained in the same wards in the Greater London Assembly (GLA) Top Up List (as mentioned in December). The results were as follows:

The increase is expressed as a factor i.e. in Valance the number of votes we received in the by-election increased by a factor of 1.6 compared to the GLA election, while in Goresbrook it was by a factor of 2.5. In other words in the by-election we obtained two and a half times more votes than in June - or for every one person who voted for us in June, two and a half people voted for us in the by-election.

In London, apart from in Rainham and Wennington, it can be seen that the turnout in all the by-elections improved upon the turnout in June. This is evidenced by the percentage increase being less than the increase in the number of votes. It is significant that Rainham and Wennington was by far the weakest campaign that we were able to mount (which is no reflection on the activists concerned, as we had to make a choice). This shows that it is largely our efforts that lead to increases in turnout!

When analysing the GLA Top Up List Vote, other factors besides the raw 'how much did we get' have to be taken into account. For example, the relative performance of Labour, the Tories and UKIP, and the amount of work previously done in the ward or in the area (in many instances this is

inevitably none at all).

The Basildon (Laindon Park) result is included, although the June result is for the same council seat, as it is not in London. This clearly shows the futility of the Basildon campaign where there was a decrease in number of votes and the percentage. I may be labouring the point about Laindon Park, but we must not continue to re-invent the wheel. Effort is not enough. Trying hard is not enough. Getting knackered is not enough. We must apply ourselves intelligently and focus our efforts. The 'plan' came about through years of trial and error, years of failure, years of getting knackered and getting no where. There is no excuse for anyone doing the same.

For example, the increase in Rainham and Wennington was not much less than that in Valance, even though Valance had a much better campaign. This is almost certainly because the GLA vote was unnaturally low in Rainham due to the BNP being more of an unknown quantity down there compared to in Dagenham. Accordingly, there was more slack to take up. Also it becomes progressively harder and more demanding to get extra votes once you get over the 30% mark, unless you can really take off and get a groundswell going as explained before with 'that nice Mrs Jones and good old Bert'.

We knew before polling day that we hadn't reached warp speed in either Valance or Village. In Village, we actually increased our raw vote by a greater factor than we did in Goresbrook, but the turn-out was particularly high, partly because Labour maximised their vote. That is why we can say with a degree of certainty that we would have won if we had been able to deploy our full

campaign.

As we have seen, the basis for victory is surprise and being prepared. But wait, there is more - this is like the Spanish Inquisition - the following are also required (amongst our weapons) for a successful campaign:

Build a team by encouraging 'ownership'. If people feel they are involved directly in a campaign then they will contribute more and put more effort in. However it must be clear that one person is in charge, otherwise there will be chaos.

Incorporate other people's ideas into the campaign. You will never come up with all the good ideas yourself. These will be ideas for campaign slogans, canvass aids, and improvements to the details (e.g. we now produce the different *Patriot* leaflets on different colour paper to make each one distinctive - there could be eight in a full campaign and we don't want people thinking that they've read them all because they're so alike).

Don't get distracted by activities that deflect from achieving the core campaign targets of the 'plan' (i.e. four sweeps and three re-knocks, these are an almost impossible target to complete, which pretty well invalidates all other stratagems). You must have tunnel vision.

Drive your activists on. Never let up even if you think you are ahead of your game plan.

Don't let the opposition disrupt your plan or dictate your actions. Let them respond to you. Helmuth von Moltke once said: "No plan survives contact with the enemy." Make sure this is not the case. However you must be flexible enough to use the *Patriot* leaflets to destroy their arguments etc.

What is the moral of this lengthy tale? We needed to prove that we were not a busted flush in London after 10th June. We needed to put down a marker that London would not roll over without a fight. We achieved these aims magnificently.

We could have, and should have, won all three Dagenham by-elections but were held back due to a lack of manpower. A winning campaign is very labour intensive.

Next time the call to battle comes, answer it willingly. Make yourself available for action without waiting to be asked. Together, we will win more seats, and continue to push the party forward.

PAPER SELLING: An important way of building and maintaining support



Enslavement by Credit

James Marsden says that New Labour's policy of stimulating a flagging economy by making credit so much easier has put millions of the less well off in hock to the bankers.

ECONOMIC MISMANAGEMENT:
Gordon Brown



Over the last few decades a seismic cultural shift has occurred in attitudes to credit. From extreme caution bordering on a phobia, it has emerged as an acceptable option to supplement income. Consumers are now more willing to take out mortgages, bank loans, overdrafts, hire purchase, and credit card debt.

The amount of money owed by consumers has broken through the symbolic £1 trillion barrier for the first time. Fuelling this cultural shift, was the change in government fiscal policy; its desire was to stimulate a flagging economy utilising credit. The result, a period of extremely low interest rates and inexpensive credit.

A predictable and therefore 'acceptable' by-product of this new attitude to credit is the growing number who are unable to meet all their debts. This is evidenced by the increasing amount of consumers seeking debt advice from voluntary or commercial agents. The reasons why people find themselves in this situation are varied and complex. For example, redundancy, divorce, business failure or ill health. Also, the type of debt varies from an

inability to pay electricity and rates, to credit card interest. With interest rates, council tax, energy and petrol expected to rise, the number with serious debt problems will grow. According to the National Consumers Council, about six million families are already struggling to keep up with credit commitments.

The first port of call for debtors may be one of the voluntary agencies such as the C.A.B. (Citizens Advice Bureau), who will attempt to negotiate reduced payments to creditors; this advice is given free. As an alternative, a commercial enterprise can arrange a re-mortgage or consolidation loan for a fee. If the above is unsuccessful, the creditor has the right to apply for a county court judgment which acts as a black mark for future credit. In severe cases, personal insolvency may be utilised. However, many are excluded because of the £250 needed to initiate the action.

Some of the more unpleasant consequences of debt include: loss of home, imprisonment for non-payment of council tax, seizure and sale of goods, inability to provide for leisure or pensions, lowering of standard of living and loss of self esteem. The anxiety and distress is exacerbated by harassment from debt collection agencies, who appear to work on the assumption that people are always personally responsible for the state of their finances.

Citizens Advice has seen a 44% increase in the number of people seeking help for debt problems over the last six years. "We have been warning for some time now that personal debt problems threaten to overwhelm large numbers of people in this country, with potential devastating consequences", said a C.A.B. representative.

BANKERS' PROFIT

The ultimate responsibility for this financial disaster lies at the doors of the Government, the Bank of England and the commercial banks. The Government, demanding stimulation in the economy, created low interest rates. The commercial banks saw an opportunity to sell their products by an unprecedented advertising campaign on TV. The consumer fell for it, hook, line and sinker. A government backed inquiry into the banks now accuses them of making "excess profits", despite the fact that Chancellor Gordon Brown gave them the key to do so. HSBC for the first six months of last year recorded a 20% rise in profits to £4.5 billion: Barclays in the same period made a profit of £2.2 billion.

Economically, the consequences of this easy credit are concerns about inflation generally on the horizon, with inflation still continuing in the housing market, despite the recent downward blip in some areas. Thus, the wind has changed causing an increase in interest rates. From a 50 year low in 2003 of 3.5%, there have been 5 interest rate rises in 9 months. Currently it is at 4.75%, with many economists expecting it to peak at 5.25% or even higher.



This allows the banks to play their trump card - even more profits from their credit business. They are about to fleece their customers by siphoning a larger proportion of

individual income into their profit accounts.

The government may not be interested in this individual carnage but will take note if the macro-economy is affected. As a matter of supply and demand, the following can be stated. The rise in interest rates charged to suppliers will be passed on as higher prices at the till, so reducing demand.

Running parallel to this; if consumers are paying an increased amount of their income repaying credit, this results in a shortage of cash for new consumer goods, so demand is further reduced. After paying the mortgage bill and interest on credit cards etc, there is not a lot of spare cash to spend.

The effect of the above will be a dampening or slowdown of the economy. Also, if the government attempts to stimulate the economy again by reducing interest rates, they will not find a large pool of consumers eager and willing to take out inexpensive credit, as once bitten twice shy. However, the dog may return to bite the

Editor's Update

Since James Marsden submitted his article the following appeared in the *Money* supplement of the *Sunday Telegraph*, October 10th, 2004, in a report by Teresa Hunter.

John McFall, the chairman of the Treasury Select Committee, is to quiz the chief executives of high street banks about credit card issuers targeting schoolchildren with offers of thousands of pounds of interest-free credit after The Sunday Telegraph alerted him to the problem.

Just a few days after celebrating his 18th birthday, sixth-former Ed Hunter was sent a Capital One credit card offering him £4,000 free credit for six months, after which interest would be charged at an annual percentage rate of 14.9.

Further in her report Teresa Hunter said that if young Ed spent £200 a month and made only the minimum monthly repayments, he would be £2,131.39 in debt by the end of the first year.


The Hidden Hand

Paul Flavelle exposes a powerful secret group that has made many of the decisions that have led to the decline of the West.

Every year a secretive all-powerful group of men and women get together in a plush hotel that could be anywhere in Europe or America. The hotel is completely taken over, with the regular staff laid off for the week as the group brings in its own staff. Security is positively presidential.

Some of the best known names have attended these meetings down the years: David Rockefeller, the Rothschilds, Alan Greenspan, Henry Kissinger, Margaret Thatcher, Kenneth Clarke, Bill Clinton, Dennis Healy, Tony Blair, Peter Mandelson, Gordon Brown, Queen Beatrix of the Netherlands, Queen Sophia of Spain; bankers, financiers, industrialists, politicians, royalty, media owners, etc, etc. They call themselves the Bilderberg Group.

But here's the strangest thing. Despite all the celebrity names, all these movers and shakers, you will not hear one word about the annual meetings from the media. Yet



Henry Kissinger

normally whenever a so-called 'celebrity' is involved in any issue the media are like flies buzzing around a dustbin. You would expect, therefore, that with a veritable bus load of 'celebrities' the media would go into overdrive, photographing the big names coming and going, hankering after interviews, reporting what was discussed, what decisions were made at the meetings and what effect these decisions might have on our lives. But no, there will be nothing on BBC, ITV, ABC, CNN, Sky, or C4. There will be nothing in the daily comics or the 'heavies'. Nothing on the radio. Nothing in the *New Statesman*, *Life Magazine*, *Spectator* or the *Economist*.

Why the extreme secrecy and just what does go on at these meetings? Can you imagine the kind of power and influence they must have to keep the entire western media apparatus at bay year after year for over half a century? Clearly, there must be a reason. Equally clear is that whatever decisions they presume to take over our heads would not meet with our approval, otherwise they would be keen for us to hear about them.

ONE WORLD DICTATORSHIP


Fortunately there have been one or two nationalist writers with insight over the past two or three decades, such as the late A. K. Chesterton and S. E. D. Brown, who have shown that the Bilderberg Group are without doubt the sinister black heart of western 'democracy'. It is they who take many major decisions affecting our world, decisions which are passed down to be implemented by national governments, who are in reality little more than puppet regimes. Their final goal is a one world dictatorship.

Have you ever wondered why every western nation, and not just the UK, has been taking in vast numbers of largely unassimilated immigrants for so many years, despite the fact that it is apparent for all to see that the policy can only lead to disaster? It just doesn't make sense, so why is it happening? Firstly, clear your mind of us all living together in multiracial bliss, a kind of Lennonesque utopia of peace and love. This is, and always was, pure fantasy. The real reason the power-brokers have been drip-feeding immigrants into our countries for the last fifty years is that it serves as one of the main pillars of their globalist agenda.

Looking around our own country we can see how mass immigration is breaking down national and racial identity, how it is used to besmirch the very concept of patriotism, of loyalty and pride. It allows the fifth column in our country free reign for its destructive urges, to talk-up anything foreign, perverted, or plain loony, whilst simultaneously denigrating anything they see as British. Break down the nations from the inside and they will be so much more susceptible to absorption in supra-national bodies, e.g. the European Union. This in turn further erodes our national freedom and sovereignty, so that the final step to accepting a global government would, by the time it came, be little more than a formality.

Yes, mass immigration is a tool that evil but very powerful men and women are using to destroy us. Perhaps this is one reason why Bilderberg attendees are so camera shy.

Do not allow any of this argument to be dismissed as cranky 'conspiracy theory', for this is REAL: the push



A. K. Chesterton

towards globalisation continues apace. The Bilderberg Group exists, it meets every year in secret; it takes decisions that are harmful to western nations; it includes some of the most influential people in the world whose thirst for absolute power is insatiable. All this is FACT

It follows of course that they will use their power (through their underlings) against those they see as threats to The Plan. Remember the hatred and venom that was spat at Jean-Marie Le Pen when he ran for the French presidency. Remember how they tried to ban the NPD in Germany recently. Remember the treatment of Vlaams Blok. Remember how the controlled media in Holland whipped up such venom against Pim Fortuyn that he ended up dead in a pool of his own blood, spilled by a leftist assassin. This was then followed by the ritual murder of Theo van Gogh by a 'Dutch' Muslim extremist living in Holland partly on account of the plan. You will not need reminding of the assorted excrement that is hurled our way on a regular basis.

All this is not merely the antics of a few rabid lefties (though they are useful tools to be used against us) but a planned, co-ordinated and ongoing assault by a global elite against our political ideology that they rightly perceive as perhaps the last real obstacle to their plans. They dare not come out into the open for they know the people would reject them and what they represent. That is why they despise the ordinary people, of all races, so much. The one thing they truly fear is publicity. In the meantime they gnaw away at the very foundations of western civilisation like rats behind a skirting board.

A future British National Party government must make membership of such evil organisations incompatible with British citizenship. Until then we must do what we can to alert people to the threat posed by groups such as the Bilderberg Group. We must also hope that we are not too late.

*David Hamilton writes:*

In Defence of the British Tradition

and acknowledges early opposition to multiracialism from orthodox MPs

The advent of New Labour with its policies of increasing immigration caused the massive influx of what are sentimentally labelled as "asylum seekers". The outcome has changed public attitudes. Where once people trusted their rulers there is now cynicism; where once many believed in a multiracial society, people now fear for the future, especially for their young. This is now a widespread view of ordinary decent people and not restricted to 'extremists'. It is said that Sir Oswald Mosley was a brilliant man. However, in spite of this he tried to introduce a foreign way of doing things before the war against our own traditions and handed a moral victory to the Utopians.

He was later followed by other lesser mortals who could not develop our traditions and copied Hitler. The old NF also proved incapable of developing our traditions and its preoccupation with marching through immigrant territories helped turn the wider population against patriotic beliefs. These unimaginative leaders set us back years. The media readily used them in order to inhibit people from opposing the then embryonic multiracial state.

The way to introduce some realism into what the multiracial tyranny means is to develop ideas from our historical tradition of interpreting the world through practical wisdom which understands the world and human nature; not with abstract ideas and a priori reasoning which leads to being unrealistic. Because of this gap between human nature and the ideal world multiracialists want to create, we



TOO MUCH FOREIGN INFLUENCE? Sir Oswald Mosley and a BUF meeting in Hyde Park, London, 1934

can find no uniting principles, and therefore it requires totalitarianism to stop it disintegrating. This is being imposed now, by this Labour Government, and would continue under the Tories and certainly under the Lib Dems.

What the liberal-left succeeded in doing was convincing the public that to control immigration was wrong in itself, when it is common sense and necessary to prevent conflict between different ethnic groups. For example, there have been several examples of rival gangs of asylum seekers fighting gun battles in Birmingham, Peterborough and Ashford, Kent.

The philosopher Roger Scruton was quoted in the *Opinion Journal* of December 10th 2002 as saying, "It is a tautology to say that a conservative is a person who wants to conserve things; the question is what things? To this I think we can give a simple one-word answer, namely: us. At the heart

of every conservative endeavour is the effort to conserve a historically given community. In any conflict the conservative is the one who sides with "us" against "them"—not knowing, but trusting."

EARLY REPATRIATION

Incidentally, if we wanted a precedent for repatriation, we have no need of foreign ideologies, we have the expulsion of the Blackamoors by Queen Elizabeth in 1601!

New Labour scrapped the "primary purpose" rule which was meant to stop people marrying just to get in the country, signed up to the European Human Rights Act, which means we have to believe what the newcomers tell us, and Blunket began issuing 200,000 work permits a year. These steps destroyed the goodwill many people originally had towards the multiracial 'experiment'. As well as



having taken us into an illegal war, Blair will go down in history as the Prime Minister who destroyed the myth of the multiracial society.

The totalitarian ideology of multiracialism grew out of the post-war timidity of the Conservative party and growing influence of Socialist-Marxism using the reaction to the Nazi persecution of the Jews. Liberals and the left were already opposed to patriotic ideas, failing to understand the bond people feel for their own stock and their country. The left imbibed the values of the Nazis but inverted them in the belief that we "whites" are the source of all evil. Ideologies always need a scapegoat group to react against and to multiracialism it is we "Whites."

The Utopian ideal of the classless society merged with the multiracial ideal of a new type of person, coffee-coloured persons. Thus we began importing a multiracial society. This entailed a flight from reality and allowing anyone in without any controls or public discussion.

OPPONENTS OF IMMIGRATION

Sir Winston Churchill was not a coward and would face the issue. He told the owner of the *Spectator* magazine in 1955 that, "Immigration is the most important issue facing us but I cannot get members of the Cabinet to take any notice." This is related by Sir Ian Gilmour in his book *Inside Right. A study of Conservatism* (Quadrant, 1977).

The great fifth Lord Salisbury was a staunch fighter for immigration control in the Cabinet. He was Lord President

of the Council (1952-57) and Leader of the House of Lords. He believed that immigration was a threat to the fabric of society and the flow attracted by our welfare would increase even if employment dropped. On the 20th of March 1954 he wrote to Lord Swinton, "Though only just beginning to push its ugly head above the surface of politics. It may eventually "fill the whole political horizon." (Public Records Office CAB124/1191).

However, from the beginning of what Lord Elton called the "Unarmed Invasion" there were some brave men who called for common sense in immigration. The first was Sir Cyril Osborne, Conservative MP for Louth, Lincolnshire. His sincerity was clear. After vainly pleading with the Conservative backbench 1922 Committee in 1958 to consider the future consequences of uncontrolled immigration, he broke down and wept.

At the second reading of the Commonwealth Immigration Bill (1961) he stated: "The world's poor would swarm to Britain's welfare honey pot. We have neither the room nor the resources to take all who would like to come." On the 5th of December 1958 Osborne told the Commons: "I also recognise that this country is engaged in trying to build a Multi Racial association...." He was shouted down by other Members.

On the 23rd March 1965 this great and heroic man stated that he could see the social evils that ordinary working class people would have to face. He was laughed at! He retorted, "We will not solve the problem by laughing at it or ignoring it. I beg Members to look at the world-facts, for

if we do not our children and our grandchildren will curse us for our moral cowardice." That was 39 years ago this year and we are the children who now have to face this unnecessary tragedy.


A feature of this undemocratic transformation of a country which the rulers imposed on the people is a lack of care for the welfare of ordinary people. If they do not share in the dream they are persecuted as racists.

Osborne stood alone like Macauley's Roman hero Horatius from 1950 until 1955, when he was joined by Norman Pannell and Harold Gurden. In fact he first spoke up for his own people in the House of Commons in June 1948 over the 120,000 Poles getting jobs in two years and the "Westward Ho" scheme to bring in workers from Europe. This brought 90,000 people in. Osborne campaigned against this asking the Minister of Labour if he would suspend the schemes "now that we have thousands of our people unemployed." This showed a genuine care for his own people not a bias against colour. A future BNP Government must put a statue of Sir Cyril Osborne on the plinth in Trafalgar Square that is being degraded by a silly piece of modern art.

Norman Pannell, Conservative member for Liverpool, Kirkdale, also campaigned for controls. He had previously served in the Nigerian Legislature and lived in Africa for over 10 years. He proposed a motion at the 1958 Tory conference for reciprocal rights of entry with other Commonwealth countries, for the U.K. had an open door policy and let anyone in, whereas other Commonwealth countries had strict controls. "Drawing on experience," he recalled, "I might mention that when I visited Nigeria two years ago as a Member of Parliament without ultimate responsibility for the affairs of that country, I was given an entry permit valid for 14 days and renewable subject to good behaviour." He also addressed the 1961 conference remarking: "Nothing has happened in the last three years."

Harold Gurden, Conservative MP, (Birmingham Selly Oak), also called for common sense in immigration. In a letter to *The Times* of 13/12/1960 he wrote: "It is the opinion of medical officers that at least some immigrants

WINSTON CHURCHILL: Another early opponent to immigration. But could he not have done more?





are suffering with tuberculosis before entering the country." In our time it is Aids from Africa as well!

The Smethick election of 1964 is of historical importance. The victor was a local man, Alderman Peter Griffiths, who had previously led the take over of the council by the Conservatives; the loser was the Labour shadow minister, Patrick Gordon-Walker, who lived in Hampstead. Griffiths was denounced during the debate on the Queen's Speech by the Prime Minister Harold Wilson as one who would be treated as a "parliamentary leper." All he wanted was common sense control of immigration. He was replaced by Andrew Faulds, Labour, who lived in Stratford upon Avon!

During a great uproar in 1965 the BBC took the American Black Power leader Malcolm X to Smethwick to cause trouble. They are still promoting their own political agenda today. Peter Griffiths was de-humanised by the media which led to a bomb being planted outside his home in October 1965 which blew the door out and damaged the hallway. Luckily he and his wife were not at home.

A handful of Tory Mps kept up the dissent. In 1981 K. Harvey Proctor warned a young Conservative meeting against blaming the immigrants themselves but called for a "vigorous programme of repatriation for all those who wish to take advantage of it ... the blame and responsibility rests with Governments of both parties".

Warren Hawksley Conservative (Wrekin), told a meeting of Oswestry Conservative Men's Branch on 24/9/1980 that he and "...12 or so

back-bench Conservative MP's had a meeting, during the summer with the Prime Minister to put our fears that Mr. Whitelaw was letting us down by not implementing our election pledges with speed and enthusiasm."

Despite her warning that there was a genuine fear of "being swamped" Mrs. Thatcher was unmoved by their appeal. [The sole reason why she said this was to draw support away from the National Front, then at its zenith - Editor]

TOTALITARIAN

To control people the embryonic totalitarian state re-defines words and changes the meaning of legal terms. Sir Ronald Bell Q.C. M.P., explained in a speech "This sceptred Isle", to W.I.S.E. at the National Liberal Club in 1981: "People have been brainwashed into thinking discrimination is a bad word except when native inhabitants are being handicapped. That is now called positive discrimination, and is deemed a good thing. We are well on the road back to 'presentment of Englishry', when in the days after the Norman Conquest it was a defence to show that the injured person was only an Englishman.

Eminent legal minds also expressed concern about Socialist legislation giving preferential treatment to immigrants. Lord Radcliffe, former Lord of Appeal in Ordinary commented: "The conduct of human life consists of choices, and it is a very large undertaking indeed to outlaw some particular grounds of choice, unless you can confine yourself to such blatant combinations of circumstances as are unlikely to have any typical embodiment in this country. I try to distinguish in my mind between an act of discrimination and an act of preference, and each time the attempt breaks down."

Judge Harold Brown remarked, in a case against squatters, as he was awarding damages in favour of Brighton Corporation: "It seems curious that if a landlord closes the door on a coloured applicant merely because of his colour he might well get into serious trouble. But if he closes his door on white people with children merely because they have children, he is under no penalty at all." (*Guardian*, 2nd August 1969.) These men were taking the traditional, empirical view as

opposed to the *a priori* view of the multi-racialists who discriminate against us.

The Cambridge don, Dr. John Casey wrote an erudite view of the break in our national continuity. "There is no way of understanding British and English history that does not take seriously the sentiments of patriotism that go with a continuity of institutions, shared experience, language, customs, kinship. There is no way of understanding English patriotism that averts its eyes from the fact that it has at its centre a feeling for persons of one's own kind." (*The Salisbury Review*, Autumn 1982). Casey was persecuted for this and recanted.

Former *Sunday Telegraph* editor Sir Peregrine Worsthorne wrote: "That not even Hitler would have treated ordinary people with such cruelty."


With the growth in arrivals has come a growth in reaction. The preferential treatment given to asylum seekers over pensioners caused Sir Patrick Moore, the world renowned Astronomer, to remark that "the more asylum seekers get the less there is for us."

DISPOSSESSION

There is now such a level of dispossession of whites that even liberals are alarmed. They can now see the reality of what was once a comforting dream. In a book review for *The Oldie* in January 2004, Ludovic Kennedy declared that there "are too many black faces on TV, Political Correctness has got completely out of hand."

Early last year the Welsh film star John Rhys-Davies who plays Gimli in *Lord of the Rings* told *World Magazine*, that the Muslim birth rate in Europe is a demographic catastrophe. "I think that Tolkien says that some generations will be challenged. And if they do not rise to meet that challenge, they will lose their civilisation." The same month in the *Radio Times*, English film star John Hurt complemented Enoch Powell: "I think he was just saying: We can't afford to have any more."

These are not the views of Hitler worshippers or secret Nazis but the worried expressions of intelligent adults who understand human nature and what human beings are capable of doing to each other. We are not alone.



Sir Patrick Moore

The Liberal Elite's Anti-Englishness Helps The BNP

Scottish, Welsh and Irish supporters of the BNP will also find this personal view by Ian Moore of interest

Five years on from devolution and the British liberal elite continue in their efforts to marginalise the English people. In spite of the recent rejection by the voters in the North East, the Blair Government is still moving towards implementing regional assemblies for England (maybe a better description would be the Balkanisation of England), regardless of the fact that all surveys on the subject show that most English people would rather have an English parliament similar to the legislature in Scotland.

Coupled with this the large numbers of immigrants still pouring into Britain are mostly housed in England, even though the English people have never been consulted on this issue. This Blairite way of thinking is symptomatic of the wider emergence in the United Kingdom of an attitude which either ignores or takes for granted the people and culture of England. In the forefront of the elite groups who generate this trend are the New Labour Government and the media.

ENGLAND GETS NOTHING

The Blair Government has been very open in its disregard for England. This is most obvious in term of New Labour's devolution policy which has given Scotland a parliament, Wales and Northern Ireland assemblies and England absolutely nothing. This democratic deficit is compounded by the fact that Scottish MPs can vote on issues relating to England, but English MPs may not vote on issues which are peculiar to Scotland.

However, it is not just Government policy which smacks of being anti-English: some of its statements also seem to have been aimed at denting English pride. The most outstanding example of this came from Jack Straw who once claimed that "English nationalism is potentially dangerous". This silly statement begs two questions: firstly, can not all nationalisms, as with everything in this world, be potentially dangerous; secondly, why single out English nationalism?



The mass media for its part has also ignored the English nation. One obvious example is that English editions of British national newspapers rarely mention St. George's day, while their Welsh and Scottish publications do celebrate St. David's Day and St. Andrew's Day respectively - and almost everybody celebrates St. Patrick's Day!

Not all people in the media are as subtle in their disrespect for all things English. A notable case concerned the black broadcaster Darcus Howe when during an episode of his documentary series *White Tribe* he referred to a St. George's day parade as "pathetic". This incident passed without any public protest, which is testament to the tolerance (some would say apathy) of the English people. If, however, Mr Howe's comments had been directed towards a St. Patrick's day parade or a Hindu festival, he would have been severely reprimanded by the PC police. Such is the hypocrisy of the media in Blair's Britain.

The New Labour Government and elements in the liberal dominated media should realise that all the indigenous people of the United Kingdom, whether English, Welsh, Scots or Irish, deserve to have their cultures respected and recognised. The points highlighted above do cause a sense of cultural alienation among many English people and because of these issues English people will continue to flock to the banner of the only political party that is genuinely concerned for them - the British National Party.





John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

When Race Murder is a Non-Event

If you are not on the internet and therefore unable to visit the BNP's new highly professional website, or a reader of *The Guardian* or Glasgow local papers, then you will not have heard of the gruesome murder of Kriss Donald, a 15-year-old Glasgowschoolboy.

In late November one of the five Pakistanis involved in his murder, Daanish Zahid, was convicted at a Glasgow Court. One had been acquitted earlier and the other three were on the run.

The court heard that Kriss Donald was snatched from the streets of Pollokshields, Glasgow, by the five men and bundled into a car and then driven for some 200 miles around Scotland while being continually beaten and tortured. His mutilated, almost unrecognisable body was found the next day on a walkway in the east end of Glasgow. He had been stabbed 13 times, then doused in petrol and set alight while still alive. Bleeding to death and burning this boy tried to crawl towards the river Clyde but died before he could reach it. A passer-by who found his body the next day thought he had come across the carcass of a dead animal. The story going round the Glasgow grapevine is that Kriss Donald was also castrated and had his eyes gouged out.

In the days after the court hearing not a word appeared in the national press (other than *The Guardian*) or on any TV news channel except a brief mention on *BBC News 24*. They were all preoccupied with the loutish behaviour of some football supporters in Spain and then England who directed 'monkey noises' at black footballers.

We are constantly reminded of the murder over ten years ago of the black youth Stephen Lawrence (who died from a single knife wound), but the media mouthpieces of the Lib-Lab-Con conspiracy treat this murder most foul of a schoolboy as a 'non-event' because he was white. And the race hate murders of some twenty or more other young whites in recent years also went unreported, except in the locals. The victims included: Ross Parker, 17, (Peterborough); Ashley Hedger, 16, (Tower Hamlets), Gavin Hopley, 19, (Oldham), Anthony Farrell, 21, (Glasgow), Lee Martin, 23, (Manchester), Sean Whyte, 18, (Colne) and Scott Pritchard, 19, (Sunderland).

It would be understandable if the families and friends of these martyrs of multi-culturalism should have hatred in their hearts for the perpetrators of these race murders, but it would be better if that hatred be directed at the old parties who allowed such a vicious race problem to arise in a country where one did not exist. And who have the audacity to pretend that the race violence is predominantly white on Asian or black.

Law Lords In Cloud-Cuckoo-Land

In the last days of office for David Blunkett we witnessed the Law Lords rubbing salt in his mainly self-inflicted wounds. Nine liberal minded lords (of all parties) delivered their verdict that, according to the European

Convention on Human Rights, we had infringed the human rights of eleven foreign nationals suspected of planning terrorism by holding them in prison because they refuse to return home. What 'human rights' would these terrorists extend towards us if they had their way? It is the ordinary man and woman in the street who would suffer the most, not the noble lords with 24-hour police protection in their London homes or weekend country retreats.

The Home Office should have the power to deport the eleven suspects in spite of their unproven claims that they might be tortured back in Algeria, Egypt and Jordan, which would be highly unlikely. This can only be done if we withdraw from the European Union and its Human Rights Convention.

A week earlier the Law Lords gave a further example of life in cloud-cuckoo-land when they said that UK immigration officers posted to Prague Airport in 2001 had breached the Race Relations Act. Apparently they had discriminated against Roma gipsies, treating them less favourably on than others seeking to travel to the UK.

Western Interference in Ukraine

The fact that Ukraine is culturally and geographically divided must be kept in mind when trying to fathom out what we are told has been happening there of late.

As on so many occasions when reporting on socio-political events the media has again put its own slant on the affair, including the mysterious dioxin poisoning of Yushchenko - he is the 'good' guy whose supporters wear the orange clothing, like all good liberals, supplied by the West. The West also supplied the laser lights, plasma screens, sophisticated sound systems and tents to camp in and make love in so that good TV images of enormous rallies could be pumped out for viewers in the West to see what a good chap this Yushchenko must be.

Enormous rallies were also held in Kiev, as well as in the eastern pro-Russian part of Ukraine, by supporters of the elected president, Viktor Yanukovitch, but they were not shown on our screens, although denigratory comments were made about Yanukovich supporters having been "bussed in".

Why should the majority of the Western media be presenting us with such biased reports, you may ask?

In 1993 the financial organisation of the Hungarian-born American citizen George Soros appointed Yushchenko as its chosen puppet for the 'westernised' Ukraine. He became head of the newly-formed National Bank of Ukraine after the fall of the USSR. Ukraine then reached an historic agreement with the International Monetary Fund when Yushchenko played a key role in negotiating the 1994 financial agreement, as well as creating a new Ukrainian national currency, which resulted in a dramatic plunge in real wages. The financial package was finalised behind closed doors at the 50 years anniversary summit of the Bretton Woods Institutions held in Madrid. It required the Ukrainian authorities to abandon all State controls over the exchange rate, leading to a

catastrophic collapse of the currency.

The result of all this was that by 1998 real wages had fallen by more than 75 per cent in relation to their 1991 level and the standard of living collapsed. Now you can see why the Ukrainians, including many of the so-called 'anti-Russian' variety, then voted for Viktor Yanukovitch in November 2002 for his first term of office.

This was not good enough for the forces of global capitalism. According to *The Guardian's* Jonathan Steele, the American-based National Endowment for Democracy, USAid, and George Soros' Open Society Institute all pumped money or sent agents into Kiev to defeat Viktor Yanukovich and elect Yushchenko as president. Jonathan Steele wrote: "Yushchenko got the Western nod, and floods of money poured in to groups which support him, ranging from the youth organisation Pora, to various opposition websites. More provocatively, the US and other Western embassies paid for exit polls."

These polls showed Yushchenko winning and, as they were intended, they demoralised the opposition and convinced most Ukrainians he was the next president. But on election day he lost. Whether or not the winner, Yanukovich, won because his supporters fiddled the votes will probably be never known for sure. But what we do know is that this was an attempt to elect American vassals in Russia's backyard because Russia under Putin is not playing the global capitalists' game. And, of course with massive 'Western' funding, the globalists' puppet Yushchenko won the re-run.

Turkey's Coming In To Roost

The EU decision that talks will begin this year to give Turkey full membership within a decade was hailed by its chief supporter, Tony Blair, as "a triumph for tolerance and world peace". Apart from adding 78 million Muslims to Europe's population (probably 90 million by 2015), this extension of 'Europe' into Asia will not stop there as the expansion of the EU is in reality part of the drive to the global capitalist one-world state, supported by Blair, most of New Labour, Lib-Dems and a considerable number of Conservatives. The give-away lies in Blair's talk of "world peace" and that "Muslim and Christian can work together... a very, very important signal right across the world."

The Turks who will come flooding into Britain and Western Europe are not the sophisticated people with moderate Muslim beliefs who abound in Istanbul and who have much Greek blood, but illiterate peasants from Anatolia whose bloodline is that of the Turkoman peoples from inner Asia who in the ninth century conquered what had been Greek for five millennia. They are as much European as I am a Hottentot.

Those advocates of Turkey becoming part of Europe point out that it is a secular state, which means that mad mullahs and fundamentalist imams are held in check and as a result the Muslim faith practiced today does not threaten Christians, Jews or other 'non-believers'. It is a secular state, as founded by Kemal Ataturk when he modernised Turkey in 1924, because this is upheld by the strong army - the world's third largest. If Turkey became part of the EU, then the army would be forced to ease its

strong grip and EU concepts of religious freedom would give the aforesaid mad mullahs and fundamentalist imams the opportunity to work with the religious extremists already entrenched here to build a European Taliban.

Save Our Science

Last month Exeter University announced that because of the high cost of running laboratories its chemistry department is to close. This is the 28th chemistry or physics department in Britain's universities that has been closed since 1996, just before New Labour took office. In contrast, media studies, black history, women's history, sports studies, 'the humanities' etc have all expanded by popular demand and they are cheap.

The chemistry Nobel prize-winner Sir Harry Kroto summed up this threat to our scientific and industrial future when he said: "The present policies are encouraging vice-chancellors to eliminate smaller chemistry departments and destroy our ability to compete. Why is the Government not prepared to pay anything like the real cost of educating scientists and engineers?"

"A new breed of anti-intellectual VCs has arisen all too eager to seize on the slightest weaknesses to justify saving money by instigating slash-and-burn policies in the UK's traditional scientific heartland. The UK is witnessing a catastrophic collapse in its capacity to produce scientists."

The BNP would ensure that funds were available to maintain our university scientific research and teaching departments, as well as engineering for the future wealth and independence of this nation. Otherwise, to quote Sir Harry again, "it would be goodbye to the sort of laboratories where some of Britain's finest chemistry has been done where the molecules that made modern liquid crystal displays possible were designed, where genetic fingerprinting was invented, where the measurements that revealed the structure of DNA were made".

Sir Harry's comments were made to the *Daily Telegraph*, 30.11.04

Know Who We Are

For those readers who want to go beyond the Marxist-liberal created fog that now acts as a barrier to finding out more on the origins of the British and the white race at large, I highly recommend *March of the Titans*, written by *Identity* contributor, Arthur Kemp. Details can be found on the BNP website, www.bnp.org.uk and also on www.white-history.com. Updated in November 2004, it is available on a CD.

It is a comprehensive history of the white race, spanning 350 centuries of tumultuous events. It is the incredible story of vast visions, empires, achievements, triumphs against staggering odds, reckless blunders, crushing defeats and stupendous struggles. Most important of all, it reveals the one true cause of the rise and fall of the world's greatest empires: that all civilisations rise and fall according to their racial homogeneity and nothing else. A nation can survive wars, defeats, natural catastrophes, but not racial dissolution.



Jan 2005

Readers' Letters

50

h
sylv

00
rkis

00
rkis

00
rkis

00
rkis

00
rkis

00
rkis

00
rkis

00
rkis

00
rkis

00
rkis

Speakers' Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 8WT, England. E-mail: identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk

LETTER OF THE MONTH

>> The Silence On Kriss Donald's Death

I feel that I must write this letter to show my disgust at the racist BBC, and I don't mean racist towards ethnic minorities. It concerns the horrific murder in Glasgow of a young fifteen-year-old lad by a gang of Asian men in the worst racist attack this country has ever seen, when they dragged him off the street and took him to a flat, tortured him all night and then stabbed and burnt him to death.

One man was found guilty of his murder and others are still on the run - one in Pakistan and two in still in Scotland. Poor young Kriss Donald was only a white boy who in today's Britain is expendable as far as the BBC is concerned. If Kriss had been Asian and his murderers were young Scots it would have made wall to wall news. I scanned all the channels and nobody reported it. I am very angry about this because the day's news was dominated by an Asian lad's death in a cell in a completely separate incident and also about a few monkey chants at a football match in Spain.

Dale Miles
Cheshire

>> Third World Democracy

The liberal-left wrung their hands in collective horror that a senior politician like Yushenko of Ukraine could emerge from a European election with a dramatically dioxin-induced pockmarked face. However, even the Ukrainians did not dare to close Yushenko's party's bank accounts, arrest him and his party officials, and threaten to outlaw his party. Welcome to Britain's new third world democratic process.

Ralph Ellis
Northwich

>> The Folly Of Aid

Here we go again. In addition to the starving millions in Sudan ravaged by war, disease and famine, the Ethiopians are once again claiming to be on the brink of extinction for similar reasons.

The wars between the Sudan People's Liberation Army (SPLA) vs the Northern Islamic government, and Marxist Ethiopia vs Eritrea over a worthless rock pile have been going on for in excess of 20 years, costing both countries billions.

Having been contracted to the UN in both these countries, I am more aware than most that the aid monies solicited by professional 'tear jerkers' from the sympathetic and gullible British public, far from alleviating the situation only goes to aggravate it. Money that should have helped to tackle suffering amongst the civilian population is siphoned off to purchase arms and feed the very soldiers whose attacks caused the famine in the first place. The conclusion one must come to is that charitable relief only helps in maintaining dictatorships.

Blair and his minions further aggravate the situation by selling arms to any despot with a cheque book whilst pontificating about Third World rights. Money travels from your

pocket to the aid agency, where administration costs are deducted. From there to the lucky dictator who shovels his commission into his Swiss account and on to the military to feed and arm the troops. The arms are purchased from UK dealers who, of course, are taxed by the Government. What a nice little earner: rotten luck on the starving millions though.

Peter C. Lucas
Devon

>> Midgely's False Claims

I read Tony Lecomber's November article, The Secret Agent Unmasking the Second Man, about the turncoat David Midgely and I congratulate him on a fine piece of detective work. However, there was something else that Tony missed about Midgely's claims on camera, which he has now admitted were false, and it is his claim to have used a child's super soaker to squirt dog excreta mixed up with water.

The fact is that it isn't technically possible to use a child's super soaker to do it anyway. Midgely was describing the action of a bicycle pump, not a tap-filled giant water gun prone to jamming on solids!

Martin Huggins
London

>> Against Welsh And Cornish Discrimination

Whilst attending Exeter Magistrates Courts (being on the receiving end of some daring police work whilst exceeding the speed limit on an empty motorway and contributing to the "crimes solved" statistics) I noticed that notices were printed in several languages besides English. This always makes me smile as it is accepting that people come to live here and cannot even speak our language (so much for assimilation).



But what really annoys me is that we have other indigenous languages of our own, namely Welsh, Gaelic and Cornish. Cornwall is a neighbouring county to Devon and Wales literally a "hop, skip and a jump" away. Why do these languages not deserve to be up there as well? This is surely just another case of everything possible being done to please people with any skin colour other than white. Those who speak Cornish, Welsh or Gaelic but are currently in England are expected to learn English. Why not the others?

J.A.Dimbylow
Devon

>> Muslims And Terrorism

I fail to understand how any Muslim community in Britain can reasonably complain that it is only them that are targeted by the police as presenting a possible terrorist threat! After 9/11 and the terrible bomb outrages in Spain, Turkey and Indonesia and the statement by one of the leading Muslim teachers, Dr Akbar Ahmed, that "although all Muslims are not terrorists, all terrorists are Muslims", is it not reasonable that the police should target Muslim communities when information on a possible terrorist act is provided to them?

It is particularly so when the words of one of the founders of modern Islam, Abu A'la Mawdudi ring such alarm bells in Western ears: "The aim of Islam is to bring about a world revolution". I and many Christians, Jews, Hindus, Sikhs and Buddhists would very much like to hear what the Muslim Council of Britain has to say on this comment. However, when the slightest word is critical of Islam they usually shut up the shop!

David Woolmer
Herts

>> Apartheid

With reference to Arthur Kemp's article on South Africa, I would respectfully point out that what was taking place was not Apartheid but racial segregation and that not all National Party politicians thought that

the segregation policy would last for ever.

Two leading Nationalists with whom I had some slight contact, Mr Oswald Pirow and Dr H.F.Verwoerd, strove to create Apartheid, i.e. the total separation of the races living in their own viable homelands, but their efforts came to nothing.

Mr Oswald Pirow, a former South African Defence Minister, may be remembered by some *Identity* readers as the man who in 1948 helped Mosley formulate the Europe-Africa plan which would have ensured the survival of the white communities settled in Africa. Dr Verwoerd, who became South Africa's Prime Minister and tried to steer the country towards Apartheid, fell foul of the forces of internationalism principally the "Hoggenheimers" who wanted an endless flow of black migrants to work in their mines and was assassinated in the House of Assembly on the 6th of September 1966 by a member of the Communist Party.

Dr Verwoerd practised what he preached, in that all his domestic and political staff were white, and in his last letter to me he wrote the following prophetic words about the fate awaiting the Western politicians who were busily engaged in undermining the position of the white man in Central and Southern Africa:

"I believe that the action of some Governments is a desire to keep the image bright, for the sake of interests of their own, of being great friends of the Black African States. One does not know how long it will last before they discover that the very fact of being white will always be held against them, and that leaving South Africa in the lurch at a crucial period was in vain."

L.J.Irving
Plymouth

>> Why I am Joining The BNP

I am a subscriber to both *Identity* and *Freedom*. However, I am not a member of the party. Several weeks ago I arrived home to find that a Join Us leaflet had been delivered to my house. This was a pleasant surprise as it demonstrated a BNP presence

in my local area. It really made me think and as a result I am now a regular visitor to the BNP website. What a bonus this is. The old site was good, but the new up-dated one is even better. As I read through the articles I found myself more and more in agreement with the BNP's policies and ever more grateful that there are actually people out there with whom I can identify.

The piece on Kriss Donald was, quite frankly, heartbreaking and very disturbing. A horrible crime, motivated purely out of race hate. However, one would never have known about it if it had been left to the mainstream media. Another absolute travesty. I could go on but perhaps the most telling statement on the website is the mission statement: "The BNP exists to secure a future for the indigenous people of these islands in the North Atlantic which have been our homeland for millennia." Oh what a cord that strikes. The BNP is the hope for the future. It is the only party with the honesty, moral fibre and good old-fashioned backbone to stand up for what is right and have the common sense policies to put it right.

Geoff Davis
Cleveland

>> Boycott Belgium

Readers can punish Belgium's socialist government for banning the Vlaams Blok by boycotting all Belgian goods while their present government remains in power.

None of those nice Belgian chocolates and no holidays in Belgium. Contact their embassy and tell them you will be taking this action and why. I urge the BNP to contact all friendly parties in Europe and ask them to join the boycott by printing a notice about it in all their publications and on their websites, together with contact details for the Belgian embassy in their country. If we all stand together it will send a strong message to European governments that nationalist parties can no longer be persecuted with impunity: there will be an economic price to pay.

Arthur Read
Merseyside

FOR HUNTER ts asyl

ars as

opens

Secretary Davi

NIC CREDI

thicket denied

ACIS RIMI P20 I Nc I IN

co



The Twin Evils Of Overseas Recruitment

By York Organiser Ian Dawson

Despite all the evidence to the contrary, we are repeatedly subjected to media reports about the benefits of diversity and of a multiracial/multicultural society. We are also told that our vital public services would collapse if there were no 'ethnic minority' staff to uphold them. This article will provide a general summary that shows, once again, how the British mass media are wrong on both counts. The evidence shown here is two-pronged and raises serious doubts about the 'mainstream opinion' perpetuated by the media, that we owe a debt of gratitude to our political masters for ignoring public opinion and flooding our country with Third World migrants.

Liberal commentators and policy makers from up and down the country, often at the behest of global internationalist forces, always defend the process of mass immigration into this country. They say that workers are needed to staff the hospitals, to teach the school kids, and to do the jobs that many British people simply don't want to do. Anybody who criticises the liberal fascist opinion is denounced as racist or xenophobic.

EXCESSIVE FOREIGN SUPPLY

Whilst the naked eye can see that our hospitals are staffed by large numbers of ethnic minority recruits, it is dangerous to jump to any conclusions without delving deeper in into the realities of the situation. Whilst the numerous foreign doctors and nurses may be doing a good job, the fact is that they are not needed. Shockingly, there are more trained nurses in Britain not working as nurses as there are still in the profession. The liberals will tell us that the NHS needs to recruit from overseas to fill positions, yet if British nurses were given a decent wage that reflects the vital service they perform then there would be no need for such large numbers of ethnics.



Our Photographs emphasise the loss to health and education in Third World countries by Britain's overseas recruitment policy


At first, foreign doctors and nurses are willing to work for a pittance and live in relatively poor accommodation - a few years and a few babies later they have no need to work as the British tax payer will support them. Also, if this country didn't have such large numbers of ethnics resident here, then we would need fewer doctors and nurses to run our health system in the first place. Add to this the fact that many ethnic minorities, especially those who have just arrived on these shores, are many times more likely to be carrying diseases and the picture becomes clearer.

In addition, with criminality amongst the Black population being at least ten times higher than people of a white ethnic background (figures taken from the USA, though still very applicable to Britain), having even marginally fewer of them in Britain would significantly cut the numbers of people requiring hospital treatment - controversial yet true.

LOWER PAID, LOWER QUALITY

A final point is that the training and educational attainment of the overseas staff is often significantly less than that of their indigenous British counterparts. Many cannot even grasp the English language. Add to the above evidence the fact that European/White nations (Norway, Switzerland) are much more peaceful and prosperous than their Black (Sudan, Somalia, Nigeria) and Asian (North Korea, Pakistan) counterparts, and also than the multiracial countries such as South Africa and Brazil (incidentally two of the most violent countries on earth) and it becomes clear that the recruitment of these peoples is damaging to the host country.

We should train our own doctors and nurses (and teachers and scientists for that matter), and make sure that they are paid a fair and decent wage so they do not turn their backs on their professions. Cutting red-tape by withdrawing from the EU and lowering the ratio of



managers to nurses in the hospitals (currently standing at about 2.4 managers of some description for every nurse) would be policies that would be introduced by a future BNP government.

It was mentioned earlier that the evidence was two-pronged, and it will now be shown that not only do host countries suffer at the hands of 'the Brain Drain' yet also the home countries (i.e. the countries where the immigrants come from). Increased labour mobility and globalisation have led to a phenomenon called the 'Brain Drain'. This terminology came into common usage during the 1960s, when better jobs, facilities and salaries, encouraged British scientists and academics to emigrate to America.

Contemporarily, the Brain Drain is most commonly associated with highly skilled professionals moving from developing countries to North America and Europe. 140 million people worldwide live in countries other than those of their birth. Despite attempts by the international capitalists to buy off people in academia to uphold their very own 'everyone benefits from the Brain Drain' utopia, the evidence very much supports a Nationalist policy on the matter.

When Professional, Technical and Kindred workers (PTKs) migrate from developing countries, money invested in these workers through subsidies to their education is lost, and there is also a loss of tax revenue as skilled workers move abroad and therefore pay foreign taxes. Many African countries, such as Zambia and Ghana, have to train five teachers just to keep one. There are also externalities to consider. Skills spread through an economy, especially from leading figures such as scientists.

Not only do the developing countries lose the chance for knowledge and skills to spread, but leading figures may also take others with them, taking more skilled workers out of the developing country. Dependents are left in the economy that the government have to look after, and the innovative young with new skills are moving out. As the government continues to invest in education, and there is a lack of skilled jobs, not only does unemployment rise, but those who are unemployed are often highly educated (relative to their population). Ultimately, there is a plethora of reasons why the migration of these PTKs is damaging to the developing countries.

Liberals and cosmopolitans often point out that new arrivals to the UK send money (remittances) to their family back home, and this offsets the damage done by the PTKs leaving the developing country. Once again, this argument does not stand up. While many people do send money

home, this money is often simply used to widen the already large inequality gap between the rich and poor, without benefiting the entire economy. In addition, a report by Riccardo Faini for the World Institute for Development Economics Research found that levels of remittances tended to go down when the skill level of the immigrants increased.

This, the paper suggests, is probably due to the fact that many skilled peoples have a lot less hassle in bringing over their entire families and thus reducing the desire to remit any money. After a few years, little money is remitted back home (itself a questionable process for host countries such as Britain) and the host economy has landed itself with a family of twelve immigrants, with Grandma and Grandpa included, from which only one person actually contributes. The rest are now dependent upon the host nation. So when most of the doctors and nurses in the Bradford hospitals are of Asian racial origin, yet much of the tax revenue in the Bradford area comes from the White parts of town, and is disproportionately spent on the Asian areas, you now know why.

THE ECONOMIC COST TO THE THIRD WORLD

The whole argument can be best summed up by looking at a report by Andres Solimano, at the time a regional advisor to the United Nations. His report states that "Africa is losing as much as US \$4 billions a year due to the emigration of top professionals seeking better jobs abroad. The study argues (he refers to a study taken from the *African Journal* 2002) that about 20,000 professionals leave Africa each year. This emigration of professionals in Africa has several adverse effects such as reducing the stock of scarce human capital at home, the erosion of the domestic tax base, the failure to form a middle-class of educated people, a stabilising factor in most societies".

In conclusion, it can be seen that the overseas recruitment programs so favoured by big-business and the liberal media alike, act as a spanner in the works for the developed countries and as an insurmountable barrier for the developing countries that will prevent their rise out of poverty.

A Nationalist policy reform in this area would allow Western countries to have great, well-funded and clean health services once more, whilst simultaneously allowing the developing countries to manage their ascendancy from their current poverty stricken state. A larger middle-class should also decrease the chances of a despotic regime coming to power, and perhaps lead in a world of nation states, to successful and proud African countries. Is the BNP racist? No, it just wants the best for its own people and its ideals will consequently create a better world for all.

EDITOR'S NOTE

The author of this article recognises the fact that most present day immigration into Britain is not in the form of professionals from overseas but in the form of economic migrants, scroungers and religious fundamentalists, yet wants to get across the point that even those who are said to help support us most are in fact damaging British society and also the societies they leave behind.





The BNP can party too! London members let their hair down at the Christmas Social Evening held at a West End Hotel

Busy End-of-Year Meetings

East Anglia

Saturday, November 20th saw two meetings on the same day in East Anglia - not one of our strongest areas, so this must be a record.

In Peterborough, Party Chairman Nick Griffin spoke to a 70-strong audience drawn from Norfolk, Cambridgeshire and South Lincs. Popular BNP Administration boss Chris Green was on great form with a series of insightful, and often very funny, comments about aspects of life in madhouse Britain. Among several other speakers was Anthony Williams, who is working hard to get the party properly established in Boston. With all the Fenland towns being hit hard by a swamping wave of cheap imported scab labour, the feeling is that hard work will pay organisational dividends over the coming years.

Nick Griffin spoke on the many ways in which the Islamic system of second class 'dhimmi' status for Unbelievers who submit to Muslim rule is already beginning to rear its ugly head in modern Britain. Having painted a frightening picture of what is going on and what today's children face unless the trend is reversed Mr. Griffin spoke of the things that every single member and supporter can do to help to build the organisation needed to do just that.

Afterwards, the yearly Hereward Club dinner was attended by 28 members and guests. A surprise after dinner appearance by members of a local Barbers' Shop chorus delighted everyone there, and was followed by a short talk by Mr Griffin on the BNP's "hidden agenda" for rural England - a huge campaign to replace the sterile, ugly and unhealthy agribusiness systems and landscapes with a revived network of family farms, using sustainable



mixed agriculture to grow healthy food with minimal chemical inputs. "When we talk about our Green and Pleasant Land, that's exactly what we intend to make it once again, and no vested interests are going to get in our way."

Meanwhile, down in the heart of Suffolk in the little village of Friston, Head of Group Development, Tony Lecomber spoke to another meeting which, while not as big as the one in Peterborough, showed up the fact that the party is making strides into new territory - the Suffolk Coastal area.

Ably chaired by Jon Timms, the meeting heard the plus points from the June elections and Organiser Paul Goodchild spoke of his excellent election campaign which so rattled the luvvies of rural Suffolk. Paul then made it clear that Suffolk BNP is growing predominantly around two areas and that a sensible division is now called for

which will promote more localised growth and see more concentrated support developing.

GLASGOW



Scott McLean speaks. BNP webmaster Steve Blake is on his left

An exclusive hotel in Glasgow's Southside on 4th December played host to the largest annual St. Andrew's Day meeting that the BNP in Scotland has ever seen, with BNP Chairman, Nick Griffin, in attendance. Expertly chaired by BNP Deputy Chairman, Scott McLean, the audience heard speeches from some of the key players in the management team of the new, stronger and more professional Scottish BNP.

First speaker was Kenny Smith, Scottish Regional Secretary and administrator for the British National Lottery and editor of the membership bulletin *British Nationalist*. He reiterated that the BNP was not a sectarian party and that it was attractive to Protestants and Catholics alike, as well as those with no denominational beliefs.

Local 'YBNP member' and former young Conservative John Ramsden gave a brief talk about his reasons for 'jumping ship' and joining the only true nationalists.

Newcomer Walter Hamilton has brought with him a new broom and a tremendous amount of energy and determination since taking over the role of Glasgow Branch Organiser. He spoke with passion about the crime wave that was sweeping the City and how people are turning in greater numbers than ever before to the only party that doesn't bow to the forces of political correctness.

British Nationalist Editor Kenny Smith



After a collection which raised over £700, Steve Blake, the BNP webmaster, spoke about the way the media had treated the BNP in Scotland and why there is an ever growing need for the BNP to develop its own media operations, in particular to build on the well established and popular internet operation. He said the advances in digital media technology presented great opportunities for the BNP.

DUPLICITY OF THE MEDIA AND POLICE

Party leader Nick Griffin concluded the speeches with a sixty-minute talk on the inherent bias of the Establishment when it dealt with racist murders. The murder of 15-year-old schoolboy Kriss Donald had hardly been mentioned outside of Scotland, he said, and he contrasted the never ending stream of media articles about the alleged murder of a black 19-year-old over ten years ago in London with the total silence of reporting at a UK wide level on racist murders of white youngsters. He listed the cases of Lee Martin, Gavin Hopley, Ross Parker, Sean Whyte, Anthony Farrell and Scott Pritchard as being treated as 'non-events' by the media and in some cases by the Police who have 'closed' murder cases with no one brought to justice. His rallying call to join, organise and fight back in the political struggle was rewarded with a standard ovation.

TONY LECOMBER REPORTS ON

GROUP DEVELOPMENT

Group development hasn't been mentioned by the party for some while, nevertheless, GD work continues apace. A number of new groups have been launched, with no publicity, to see how they fared. London is a case in point for quiet, unannounced work, with six new groups either formed or in the last stage of being formed, and with more planned for this New Year. Chelsea & Fulham, Ealing, W Herts, Camden & Islington, Lambeth & Southwark and Richmond & Kingston are the groups in question and prove, despite the impact of immigration which has killed off large parts of London, that there is still life in our capital city yet.

At the same time, the Group and Branch review has been completed, resulting in five smaller units being deleted through inactivity, twelve new groups being added, eight 'contacts' achieving group status and thirteen groups achieving branch status with no relegations. Special congratulations to their Organisers, Fund-holders and activists. This leaves the party at its strongest position ever, and with more to come. Watch this space!

Delayed ID

We apologise for the late publication of *Identity* this month. This occurred due to a combination of a print-works closure over Christmas and the New Year, and problems caused by the seizure and subsequent breaking of BNP computers by the police during the anti-free speech raids launched shortly before Christmas.

BNP - fighting to stay legal, fighting to win

With a top level New Labour team doing everything they can think of to drive the British National Party out of business, we must expect a further wave of attacks in the months running up to the General Election - and we must do everything necessary to resist and overcome this concerted assault against the BNP and democracy.

Money is more important than ever if we are to weather the storm and come out on top. The legalised police vandalism of BNP computers, organising demonstrations outside police stations and banks, legal research and actions against moves to bar BNP members from certain jobs, the huge amount of staff time spent reorganising to make up for lost bank accounts, the creation of contingency plans against the threat of even more widespread repression in the future - all such things place a massive extra strain on our finances.

The money already spent urgently needs replacing, and even more importantly we need to build up a Fighting Reserve. This is especially important for legal matters, where some fresh attack could easily leave us with no option but to hire a solicitor and barrister to dash into court to fight for our - and your - rights at literally just a few hours' notice. Such actions cost thousands of pounds, but surrender or failure are not an option, so we have to fight - and fight to win.

So while we know that many Identity readers are already digging deep to help fund the General Election campaign, we're asking this month for you to make an extra special effort to donate as much as possible to our general fighting reserve funds. Thank you in advance for your continued support, it really does make all the difference.

Yes! I want to help the BNP fight back against the Blair regime's efforts to shut down Britain's last hope. Please find enclosed my cheque payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage'

☐ **£10**

☐ **£25**

☐ **£1000**

☐ **£50**

☐ **£100**

☐ **Other:**

Name:

Address:

Please send to: The Secretary, PO Box 907, Hull, HU6 9WY

Please send an SAE if you require an acknowledgement for sums of £25 or less

Subscribe To Identity

The following rates are for 6 or 12 issues respectively (please tick box as applicable):

British Isles £15 ☐ £30 ☐

Overseas surface mail £16.50 ☐ £33 ☐

Unsealed air mail Europe £17.50 ☐ £35 ☐

Unsealed air mail Middle East, North and South America £24 ☐ £48 ☐

Unsealed air mail Australasia, Far East £25 ☐ £50 ☐

Please Note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name

Address

Postcode

Telephone

E-mail

All cheques etc should be made payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage' and sent to PO Box 907, Hull, HU6 9WY. You can subscribe online at www.bnp.org.uk. Photocopies can be used.

Identity Bulk Order Rates

5 - £9.25; 10 - £15; 15 - £20; 20 - £24.50; 25 - £29; 30 - £34; 40 - £43.50; 50 - £52.50; 60 - £62.50; 70 - £71.50; 80 - £81; 90 - £90.50; 100 - £100

Postage - £1.05 for 5; £1.94 for 10; £4.05 for 15 - 20; £4.34 for 25 - 30; £5.19 for 40 - 50